## Tutorial 6, Statistical Mechanics: Concepts and applications 2019/20 ICFP Master (first year)

Botao Li, Valentina Ros, Victor Dagard, Werner Krauth  $Tutorial\ exercises$ 

## I. ISING MODEL IN $D \ge 2$ – THE PEIERLS ARGUMENT

1. Peierls argument for the Ising model in D > 2 C. Bonati, Eur. J. Phys. 35, 035002 (2014)

**The model:** Consider a classical Ising ferromagnet, defined for spins  $\sigma \in \{+1, -1\}$ :

$$E = -J\sum_{(i,j)} \sigma_i \sigma_j - h\sum_i \sigma_i , \qquad (1)$$

where J is assumed to be positive and we set the applied magnetic field h to zero. We define the average magnetization per lattice site as

$$m = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i} = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N} = 1 - 2\frac{N_{-}}{N}$$
 (2)

where N is the total number of spins and  $N_{\pm}$  is the number of  $\pm 1$  spins. In  $D \geq 2$ , this system undergoes a phase transition at the critical temperature  $T_c$ . In the paramagnetic phase  $(T > T_c)$ , the average magnetization in thermodynamic limit  $\langle m \rangle$  vanishes, whereas in the ferromagnetic phase  $(T < T_c)$  it does not. The Peierls argument allows one to show that  $\langle N_- \rangle / N < 1/2 - \epsilon$  (for every N) in ferromagnetic phase, from which it follows that  $\langle m \rangle > 0$ . The argument in D = 2 has been presented in the lecture: in this exercise we generalize it to the case D > 2.

Peierls argument for the Ising model in  $D \ge 3$ : Consider a three dimensional cubic lattice of dimensions  $N^{1/3} \times N^{1/3} \times N^{1/3}$ . The Peierls contours are in this case surfaces, but their construction proceeds along the same lines as in the two dimensional case.

(a) Label an arbitrary Peierls surface by  $\gamma_S^i$ , where S is the surface area measured in units of elementary squares. Show that for a fixed spin configuration, the following bound holds:

$$N_{-} \leq \sum_{S>6.\text{ even}} \sum_{i=1}^{N(S)} V(\gamma_S^i) X(\gamma_S^i)$$
(3)

where  $X(\gamma_S^i)$  is non-zero iff  $\gamma_S^i$  belongs to the configuration,  $V(\gamma_S^i)$  is the volume enclosed by the Peierls surface and N(S) the total number of surfaces or area S.

- : In a fixed configuration, each negative spin is enclosed within at least one Peierls surface, but the latter can include also positive spins (see Fig. 1 of Bonatti's paper for a D = 2 example). Thus the sum of the volumes enclosed in all Peierls surfaces gives an upper bound to N\_.
- (b) Give an upper bound on the volume inside a surface  $V(\gamma_S^i)$  as a function V(S) depending only the surface area S.

**:** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the smallest parallelogram containing the surface  $\gamma_S^i$ . Its edges  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  must satisfy  $x_i \leq S/4$ , and each  $x_i$  can be at most (S-2)/4. This gives:

$$V(\gamma_S^i) \le \max_{x_i \le (S-2)/4} x_1 x_2 x_3 \le \max_{x_1 \le S/4} x_1 \max_{x_2 \le S/4} x_2 \max_{x_3 \le S/4} x_3 = \left(\frac{S}{4}\right)^3. \tag{4}$$

- (c) Find an upper bound X(S) on the thermal average  $\langle X(\gamma_S^i) \rangle$ .
  - : With exactly the same argument as for D=2 we get:

$$\langle X(\gamma_S^i) \rangle \le \frac{\sum_{c \in \mathscr{C}} e^{-\beta E(c)}}{\sum_{\bar{c} \in \bar{\mathscr{C}}} e^{-\beta E(\bar{c})}} \tag{5}$$

and

$$E(c) = E(\bar{c}) + 2JS . \tag{6}$$

Substituting this into the above inequality we get

$$\langle X(\gamma_L^i)\rangle \leq \frac{e^{-2J\beta L} \sum_{c \in \mathscr{C}} e^{-\beta E(\bar{c})}}{\sum_{\bar{c} \in \mathscr{C}} e^{-\beta E(\bar{c})}}$$

where the two sums are equal to each other because for a given surface, for every configuration c, there is exactly one configuration  $\bar{c}$ . This results in the following upper bound on  $\langle X(\gamma_S^i)\rangle$ :

$$\langle X(\gamma_S^i) \rangle \le X(S) \equiv e^{-2J\beta S} \ .$$
 (7)

- (d) Derive an upper bound on the number N(S) of closed surfaces of area S.
  - : This is obtained bounding the number of ways in which a closed surface of size S can be built by combining S faces of unit area. At the first step, the first face can be placed around any of the N lattice sites, in 3 possible orientations. At any subsequent step n, one additional face is attached to each of the  $s_n$  links left open at the previous step: for each added face there are at most 3 possible orientations. This is iterated until the step  $\overline{n}$  such that  $1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\overline{n}} s_n = S$ . Therefore we get:

$$N(S) \le N \frac{3^S}{S},\tag{8}$$

where the additional factor of S in the denominator accounts for the different possible choices of which is the first one out of the S faces.

- (e) Use the quantities you calculated to write down an expression for  $\langle N_- \rangle$ , which will be proportional to a sum over surface areas S. The final result should be of the form  $\langle N_- \rangle \leq N f_3(x)$  where  $x = 9e^{-4J\beta}$  and  $f_3(x)$  is a continuous function of x.
  - : Combining all estimates one gets

$$\langle N_{-} \rangle \le \sum_{S > 6, \text{even}} V(S)N(S)X(S) = \frac{N}{4^3} \sum_{S > 6, \text{even}} S^2 (3 e^{-2\beta J})^S$$
 (9)

Writing S = 2k we get

$$\langle N_{-} \rangle \le \frac{N}{16} \sum_{k \ge 3} k^2 (9 e^{-4\beta J})^S = \frac{N}{16} \left[ \sum_{k \ge 1} k^2 x^k - x - 4x^2 \right].$$
 (10)

Using that

$$\sum_{k>1} k^2 x^k = \frac{x(1+x)}{(1-x)^3} \tag{11}$$

one gets  $\langle N_{-} \rangle \leq N f_3(x)$  with

$$f_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{16(1-x)^3}(9-11x+4x^2). \tag{12}$$

- (f) Use the same reasoning to arrive at a similar result for the general D > 3 case.
  - : In D dimensions, let  $\gamma_H^i$  denote a Peierls hypersurface of area H. The bounds generalize to:

$$V(\gamma_H^i) \le V(H) = \left(\frac{H}{2(D-1)}\right)^D, \qquad N(H) \le DN \frac{3^H}{3H} \tag{13}$$

and  $\langle X(\gamma_H^i)\rangle \leq X(H) = e^{-2J\beta H}$ , so that

$$\langle N_{-}\rangle \le \frac{ND}{6(D-1)^{D}} \sum_{k>D} k^{D-1} x^{k},\tag{14}$$

and the sum is convergent.

- (g) Why cannot the Peierls argument be applied to the one dimensional Ising model?
  - : In D=1 the domains are segments of length H: while V(H) and N(H) grow with H,  $X(H)=e^{-4\beta J}$  does not: the upper-bound is thus a diverging series.